TABLE 1. TURFGRASS COLOR RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.

	TURFGRASS COLOR RATINGS[a]								
NAME	1 WEEK[b]	3 WEEKS	5 WEEKS	7 WEEKS	9 WEEKS	11 WEEKS	13 WEEKS	15 WEEKS	YEARLY AVE.
AQUEDUCT	5	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.2
BRILLIANCE	5	5.8	6.0	6.5	6.5	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.9
CASCADE PLUS	5	5.3	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.0	5.8	6.0	5.7
CONTROL	5	5.5	5.8	6.3	6.3	6.0	6.0	6.3	5.9
HYDRO-WET	5	5.8	6.5	6.5	7.0	6.5	6.3	7.5	6.4
LESCOFLO	5	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	6.3	5.8	5.8	5.8
NAIAD	5	5.8	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.9
PRIMER SELECT	5	5.5	6.0	6.5	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.5	5.8
RESPOND 2	5	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	6.0	5.7
SURFSIDE 37	5	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.5	5.8	5.8	6.0	5.8
TRICURE	5	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	6.3	5.8	5.8	5.8
LSD[c]	0	1.8	1.9	2.3	1.7	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.2
CV[d] (%)	0	11.5	12.2	13.8	11.1	7.8	10.2	14.2	8.1

a) Turfgrass color was visually rated using a scale of 1=brown, 5=medium green and 9=dark green.

b) Color ratings were taken every two weeks beginning one week after the initial wetting agent application which was made on May 20, 2003.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 2. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.

	TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS[a]								
NAME	1 WEEK[b]	3 WEEKS	5 WEEKS	7 WEEKS	9 WEEKS	11 WEEKS	13 WEEKS	15 WEEKS	YEARLY AVE.
AQUEDUCT	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.5	7.3	6.8	6.5	6.8	6.4
BRILLIANCE	5.5	5.8	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.0	6.0	6.3	6.1
CASCADE PLUS	5.3	5.3	5.8	5.8	6.5	6.3	6.0	6.3	5.9
CONTROL	5.3	5.5	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.0	6.0	6.5	6.0
HYDRO-WET	5.3	5.8	6.3	6.5	7.0	6.8	6.3	7.5	6.4
LESCOFLO	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	6.5	6.3	6.0	6.0	6.0
NAIAD	5.3	5.8	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.0	6.0	6.0
PRIMER SELECT	5.0	5.8	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.5	5.9
RESPOND 2	5.3	5.5	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	5.8
SURFSIDE 37	5.3	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.0	6.0
TRICURE	5.3	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	6.3	5.8	6.0	5.8
LSD[c]	1.2	2.0	1.7	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.5	1.5
CV[d] (%)	9.2	12.4	10.9	14.7	12.3	11.8	12.0	12.2	9.6

a) Turfgrass quality was visually rated using a scale of 1=poor quality, 5=acceptable quality and 9=excellent quality.

b) Turfgrass quality ratings were taken every two weeks beginning one week after the initial wetting agent application which was made on May 20, 2003.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 3. PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS[a]														
NAME	APP 1-1[b]	APP 1-3	APP 1-7	APP 2-1	APP 2-3	APP 2-7	APP 3-1	APP 3-3	APP 3-7	APP 4-1	APP 4-3	APP 4-7	APP 5-1	APP 5-3	APP 5-7
AQUEDUCT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
BRILLIANCE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CASCADE PLUS	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CONTROL	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
HYDRO-WET	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LESCOFLO	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
NAIAD	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
PRIMER SELECT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
RESPOND 2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
SURFSIDE 37	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
TRICURE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LSD[c]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CV[d] (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a) Phytotoxicity was visually rated using a scale of 1=brown or discolored turf, 7=acceptable damage and 9=green turf, no damage.

b) Phytotoxicity ratings were taken one, three and seven days after each application of any wetting agent. App 1-1 refers to application number one, the ratings were taken one day after application.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED) PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS[a]

NAME	PHYA6_1[b]	PHYA6_2	PHYA6_3	PHYA7_1	PHYA7_2	PHYA7_3	PHYA8_1	PHYA8_2	PHYA8_3	PHYA9_1	PHYA9_2	PHYA9_3	PHYA10_1	PHYA10_2	PHYA10_3
AQUEDUCT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
BRILLIANCE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CASCADE PLUS	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CONTROL	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
HYDRO-WET	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LESCOFLO	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
NAIAD	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
PRIMER SELECT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
RESPOND 2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
SURFSIDE 37	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
TRICURE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LSD[c]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CV[d] (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a) Phytotoxicity was visually rated using a scale of 1=brown or discolored turf, 7=acceptable damage and 9=green turf, no damage.

b) Phytotoxicity ratings were taken one, three and seven days after each application of any wetting agent. App 6-1 refers to application number six, the ratings were taken one day after application.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED) PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	PHYTOTOXICITY RATINGS[a]								
NAME	APP 11-1[b]	APP 11-3	APP 11-7	APP 12-1	APP 12-3	APP 12-7	APP 13-1	APP 13-3	APP 13-7
AQUEDUCT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
BRILLIANCE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CASCADE PLUS	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
CONTROL	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
HYDRO-WET	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LESCOFLO	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
NAIAD	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
PRIMER SELECT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
RESPOND 2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
SURFSIDE 37	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
TRICURE	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
LSD[c]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CV[d] (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a) Phytotoxicity was visually rated using a scale of 1=brown or discolored turf, 7=acceptable damage and 9=green turf, no damage.

b) Phytotoxicity ratings were taken one, three and seven days after each application of any wetting agent. App 11-1 refers to application number 11 , the ratings were taken one day after application.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 4. WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
TWO WEEKS AFTER THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	96.0	96.3	60.8	55.3	1.3	1.3
BRILLIANCE	21.5	25.3	18.0	3.8	2.0	1.3
CASCADE PLUS	32.8	19.0	9.5	1.3	1.3	1.0
CONTROL	86.3	32.8	59.0	3.0	1.3	1.0
HYDRO-WET	89.0	90.0	66.3	2.0	1.5	1.3
LESCOFLO	88.3	55.5	5.3	2.8	1.0	1.0
NAIAD	54.8	74.0	49.3	8.0	1.8	1.0
PRIMER SELECT	21.5	15.8	5.8	1.3	2.3	1.0
RESPOND 2	86.5	73.0	12.0	3.0	1.5	1.0
SURFSIDE 37	112.8	132.0	20.8	3.0	2.0	2.0
TRICURE	41.0	29.5	10.5	10.3	1.0	1.0
LSD[c]	200.3	130.7	110.9	64.0	3.2	1.6
CV[d] (%)	120.0	107.9	168.1	342.0	78.7	56.2

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 5. WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
FOUR WEEKS AFTER THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	52.3	25.5	20.8	13.5	1.3	1.0
BRILLIANCE	67.5	60.8	30.0	20.3	2.0	1.0
CASCADE PLUS	21.0	17.8	9.8	2.8	1.3	1.0
CONTROL	83.5	73.3	38.0	3.5	1.8	1.0
HYDRO-WET	48.3	50.5	48.5	13.0	2.3	1.5
LESCOFLO	29.8	11.5	16.0	2.0	1.5	1.0
NAIAD	103.5	57.8	26.0	14.3	2.8	1.0
PRIMER SELECT	89.0	56.5	16.8	2.3	1.5	1.3
RESPOND 2	130.3	89.5	57.3	17.0	3.3	1.3
SURFSIDE 37	94.0	43.0	30.5	7.8	5.5	1.5
TRICURE	57.8	26.8	60.0	54.0	4.3	1.5
LSD[c]	111.2	104.9	116.5	82.9	7.8	1.3
CV[d] (%)	76.7	99.9	139.8	247.6	127.4	44.1

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 6. WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
EIGHT WEEKS AFTER THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	46.0	7.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.3
BRILLIANCE	94.5	30.8	5.3	2.8	1.0	1.0
CASCADE PLUS	44.3	8.0	3.8	1.3	1.0	1.3
CONTROL	119.3	40.5	7.5	2.3	1.0	1.0
HYDRO-WET	44.8	21.8	6.8	2.8	1.3	1.0
LESCOFLO	40.0	21.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
NAIAD	93.5	31.3	7.5	2.0	1.0	1.3
PRIMER SELECT	49.5	9.0	3.5	1.5	1.0	1.0
RESPOND 2	122.8	45.3	7.5	3.3	2.0	2.0
SURFSIDE 37	140.5	50.3	8.5	2.0	1.3	1.0
TRICURE	43.5	12.8	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0
LSD[c]	74.5	32.0	7.8	3.9	1.6	1.6
CV[d] (%)	59.3	75.1	79.4	80.5	57.0	57.8

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 7. WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
12 WEEKS AFTER THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	63.8	26.8	3.3	1.5	1.0	1.0
BRILLIANCE	131.5	49.8	5.8	1.5	1.0	1.0
CASCADE PLUS	36.0	41.8	13.5	3.5	1.0	1.0
CONTROL	77.8	68.0	12.3	3.0	1.3	1.0
HYDRO-WET	21.3	14.3	12.8	2.5	1.8	1.0
LESCOFLO	118.0	40.3	11.0	1.5	1.3	1.3
NAIAD	109.3	43.8	12.5	3.0	1.5	1.0
PRIMER SELECT	74.0	21.8	8.0	1.5	1.0	1.0
RESPOND 2	116.5	38.0	18.8	4.5	1.5	1.0
SURFSIDE 37	75.8	47.8	9.0	4.3	1.0	1.0
TRICURE	36.0	14.5	4.3	1.5	1.0	1.0
LSD[c]	106.0	85.3	19.8	5.9	0.6	0.3
CV[d] (%)	70.8	96.2	87.2	96.1	29.6	14.7

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 8. WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX
16 WEEKS AFTER THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.
THE INITIAL APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS WAS MADE ON MAY 20, 2003.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	71.8	37.3	3.5	1.5	1.0	1.0
BRILLIANCE	127.3	48.5	6.5	1.8	1.3	1.0
CASCADE PLUS	39.3	22.3	16.3	3.0	1.0	1.0
CONTROL	78.8	59.8	14.5	3.0	1.5	1.0
HYDRO-WET	18.5	16.3	14.0	2.5	1.5	1.0
LESCOFLO	119.3	48.5	10.5	2.0	1.3	1.5
NAIAD	118.8	39.5	14.0	2.3	1.8	1.5
PRIMER SELECT	71.5	25.8	10.3	1.3	1.0	1.0
RESPOND 2	102.3	44.3	19.3	4.3	1.3	1.0
SURFSIDE 37	75.8	47.8	10.3	4.3	1.0	1.3
TRICURE	39.0	17.5	6.3	1.3	1.0	1.0
LSD[c]	94.3	72.3	14.9	4.1	0.8	0.5
CV[d] (%)	65.0	82.6	65.7	77.8	32.3	25.9

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 9. YEARLY AVERAGE WATER DROPLET PENETRATION TIMES BY DEPTH FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX AFTER THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.

	WATER DROPLET PENETRATION MEASURED IN SECONDS[a]					
NAME	0.5 CM[b]	1.5 CM	2.5 CM	3.5 CM	4.5 CM	5.5 CM
AQUEDUCT	66.0	38.6	18.0	14.8	1.2	1.1
BRILLIANCE	88.5	43.0	13.1	6.0	1.5	1.1
CASCADE PLUS	34.7	21.8	10.6	2.4	1.1	1.1
CONTROL	89.1	54.9	26.3	3.0	1.4	1.0
HYDRO-WET	44.4	38.6	29.7	4.6	1.7	1.2
LESCOFLO	79.1	35.4	8.9	1.9	1.2	1.2
NAIAD	96.0	49.3	21.9	5.9	1.8	1.2
PRIMER SELECT	61.1	25.8	8.9	1.6	1.4	1.1
RESPOND 2	111.7	58.0	23.0	6.4	1.9	1.3
SURFSIDE 37	99.8	64.2	15.8	4.3	2.2	1.4
TRICURE	43.5	20.2	16.6	13.7	1.7	1.1
LSD[c]	71.0	61.4	38.7	19.7	1.7	0.7
CV[d] (%)	50.7	67.6	90.7	149.0	47.4	22.8

a) The maximum time for water droplet penetration was 600 seconds. Any water droplet remaining after 600 seconds was recorded as 600 seconds.

b) Depth in centimeters below the soil surface.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.

TABLE 10. DEW FORMATION/CONTROL RATINGS FOR 2003 IN COLLEGE STATION, TX FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION OF WETTING AGENTS.

	DEW FORMATION/CONTROL RATINGS[a]		
NAME	DEW 1[b]	DEW 2	YEARLY AVE.
AQUEDUCT	6.5	6.5	6.5
BRILLIANCE	4.3	4.5	4.4
CASCADE PLUS	6.5	7.0	6.8
CONTROL	3.8	5.3	4.5
HYDRO-WET	8.0	7.0	7.5
LESCOFLO	7.3	3.0	5.1
NAIAD	4.3	6.3	5.3
PRIMER SELECT	8.0	7.0	7.5
RESPOND 2	6.5	6.5	6.5
SURFSIDE 37	5.8	5.3	5.5
TRICURE	8.0	5.0	6.5
LSD[c]	2.2	5.7	2.9
CV[d] (%)	23.3	43.7	26.0

a) Dew formation/control was visually rated using a scale of 1=heavy dew present and 9=no dew present.

b) Dew formation/control ratings were taken on various dates following the application of wetting agents.

c) LSD is the least significant difference among the treatment means. To determine if one treatment is significantly different from another, subtract the mean of one treatment from the mean of another treatment. A statistically significant difference occurs when this value is larger than the LSD value given at the bottom of the column. Treatment means should be compared only within a column (LSD 0.05).

d) CV is the coefficient of variation and indicates the percent variation of the treatment means in each column.